



2019 Long-Term Summary of Kentucky Forage Variety Trials

G.L. Olson, S.R. Smith, J. C. Henning, and C.D. Teutsch, Plant and Soil Sciences

Introduction

Forage crops occupy approximately 7 million acres in Kentucky. Forages provide a majority of the nutrition for beef, dairy, horse, goat, sheep, and wild-life in the state. In addition, forage crops play an environmentally friendly role in soil conservation, water quality, and air quality. There are more than 60 forage species adapted to the climate and soil conditions of Kentucky. Only 10 to 12 of these species occupy the majority of the acreage, but within these species there is a tremendous variation in varieties.

This publication was developed to provide a user-friendly guide to choosing the best variety for producers based on a summary of forage yield and grazing tolerance trials conducted in Kentucky over the past 12 to 15 years. Detailed variety reports and forage management publications are available from your local county agent or at the University of Kentucky forage website at forages.ca.uky.edu by clicking on the "Forage Variety Trial" link.

Species in this Report

Red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.) is a high-quality, short-lived, perennial legume that is used in mixed or pure stands for pasture, hay, silage, green chop, soil improvement, and wildlife habitat. This species is adapted to a wide range of climatic and soil conditions and therefore is versatile as a forage crop. Stands of improved varieties are generally productive for two to three years, with the highest yields occurring in the year following establishment. Red clover is used primarily as a renovation legume for grass pastures. It is a dominant forage legume in Kentucky because it is relatively easy to establish and has high forage quality and high yield.

White clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) is a low-growing, perennial pasture legume with white flowers. It differs from red

clover in that the stems (stolons) grow along the surface of the soil and can form adventitious roots that may lead to the development of new plants. White clover is classified into ladino, Dutch, and intermediate types. The intermediate types combine the higher yield of ladino with the grazing tolerance of the Dutch types.

Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) has historically been the highest yielding, highest quality forage legume grown in Kentucky. It forms the basis of Kentucky's cash hay enterprise and is an important component in dairy, horse, beef, and sheep diets and wildlife habitat. Choosing a good alfalfa variety is a key step in establishing a stand of alfalfa. The choice of variety can impact yield, stand persistence, insect and disease resistance, and grazing tolerance.

Orchardgrass (*Dactylus glomerata*) is a high-quality, productive, cool-season grass that is well adapted to Kentucky conditions. This grass is used for pasture, hay, green chop, and silage, but it requires better management than tall fescue for higher yields, quality, and long stand life. It produces an open, bunch-type sod, making it very compatible with alfalfa or red clover as a pasture and hay crop or as habitat for wildlife.

Tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) is a productive, well-adapted, persistent, soil-conserving, cool-season grass that is grown on approximately 5.5 million acres in Kentucky. This grass, used for both hay and pasture, is the forage base for most of Kentucky's livestock enterprises, particularly beef cattle. The predominant variety, KY31, was developed in Kentucky for long-term persistence but contains a fungal endophyte that produces alkaloids detrimental to livestock production and reproductive health. Endophyte-free tall fescue varieties produce no detrimental alkaloids, but UK research shows that they are less persistent than KY31. New novel endophyte tall fescue varieties

List of Tables

	Page
Table 1. White Clover Yield	4
Table 2. Red Clover Yield	5
Table 3. Alfalfa Yield	6
Table 4. Roundup Ready Alfalfa Yield	8
Table 5. Tall Fescue Yield	9
Table 6. Orchardgrass Yield	10
Table 7. Timothy Yield	12
Table 8. Kentucky Bluegrass Yield	13
Table 9. Annual Ryegrass Yield	14
Table 10. Perennial Ryegrass Yield	15
Table 11. Festulolium Yield	17
Table 12. Bromegrass Yield	17
Table 13. Sudangrass Yield	18
Table 14. Sorghum-Sudangrass Yield	18
Table 15. Pearl Millet Yield	19
Table 16. Forage Sorghum Yield	20
Table 17. Teff Yield	20
Table 18. Crabgrass Yield	21
Table 19. Spring Oats Yield	21
Table 20. White Clover Grazing	22
Table 21. Alfalfa Grazing	23
Table 22. Tall Fescue Grazing	24
Table 23. Orchardgrass Grazing	25
Table 24. Perennial Ryegrass/Festulolium Grazing ..	26
Table 25. Tall Fescue Horse Grazing	27
Table 26. Orchardgrass Horse Grazing	28

contain safe endophytes, which enhance stand persistence but cause no detrimental animal symptoms.

Annual ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum*) and **perennial ryegrass** (*Lolium perenne*) are high-quality, productive, cool-season grasses used in Kentucky. Both have exceptionally high seedling vigor and are highly palatable to livestock. Annual ryegrasses (both Italian and Westerwolds type) are increasingly in use across Kentucky as more winter-hardy varieties are released and promoted. Annual ryegrass is productive for six to eight months when planted early fall (late August/September) and is used primarily for late fall and early to late spring pasture. Perennial ryegrass can be used as a short-lived hay or pasture plant and has growth characteristics similar to tall fescue. It is less persistent than other cool-season grass species. There are both diploid (two sets of chromosomes) and tetraploid (four sets of chromosomes) varieties of perennial ryegrass. Tetraploids have larger tillers and seedheads and wider leaves. Tetraploid types tend to be taller and less dense than diploid types, even in early stages of regrowth.

Diploid types produce more tillers, have better stand persistence, and are typically more tolerant to heavy grazing.

Timothy (*Phleum pratense*) is the fourth most widely sown cool-season perennial grass used in Kentucky for forage after tall fescue, orchardgrass, and Kentucky bluegrass. Timothy is primarily harvested as hay, particularly for horses. In Kentucky, timothy behaves like a short-lived perennial, with stands usually lasting two years.

Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*) is a high-quality, highly palatable, long-lived pasture plant with limited use for hay. It tolerates close, frequent grazing better than most grasses. It has low yields and low summer production and becomes dormant and brown during hot, dry summers. Kentucky bluegrass is best suited for pastures where a dense sod is more important than high-forage production (e.g., horse pastures).

Festuloliums are hybrids between various fescues and ryegrasses with higher quality than tall fescue and improved stand survival over perennial ryegrass. Their use in Kentucky is limited because they do not survive as long as tall fescue. Newer varieties show promise where high quality and yield are more important than long-term persistence.

Bromegrasses have several advantages over tall fescue, including retaining quality as they mature and better growth during dry weather, but they are generally less well adapted in Kentucky.

Smooth bromegrass (*Bromus inermis* Leyss) is a perennial pasture and hay grass native to Europe. It has creeping underground stems or rootstocks from which the leafy stems arise. Smooth bromegrass is palatable to all classes of livestock, from emergence to the heading stage. Meadow bromegrass (*Bromus biebersteinii* Roem. & Schult) is a native of southeastern Europe and the adjacent Near East. It resembles smooth bromegrass but has only short rhizomes or none at all. Meadow bromegrass is densely tufted and has a similar growth habit to tall fescue. Hybrid bromegrasses are a cross between smooth and meadow bromegrasses. Alaska bromegrass (*Bromus sitchensis*), also called Sitka bromegrass, is a long-lived perennial bunchgrass that will actively grow at moderate rates during the

spring and summer season. It does not spread by rhizomes and is more suited to environments with harsh winters. Prairie bromegrass (*Bromus wildenowii*) is a tall, cool-season, leafy short-lived, perennial, deep-rooted bunchgrass. It was introduced from South America. Seedheads are produced throughout the growing season, and to maintain productive stands for several years, it is necessary to manage at least one growth cycle each year for seed production and natural reseeding. Some prairie bromegrasses are susceptible to winterkill. Mountain bromegrass (*Bromus marginatus*) is native to North America from Alaska to northern Mexico, where it can be found in many types of habitat. It is a short-lived, perennial, cool-season, sod-forming grass.

Sudangrass (*Sorghum bicolor* ssp. *drummondii*) is a rapidly growing annual grass in the sorghum family. It is medium yielding and well suited for grazing or hay because of its smaller stem size. Sudangrass regrows quickly after harvest and can be grazed several times during summer and early fall.

Sorghum-sudangrass hybrids are more vigorous and slightly higher yielding than sudangrass. A larger stem size makes these hybrids less useful for hay; therefore, they are commonly used for baleage and grazing.

Forage sorghum is used primarily as silage for livestock and is typically a one cut crop. It grows 6 to 12 feet tall and is typically harvested when the seed is in the milk to soft dough stage.

Pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) is the most widely grown type of millet. It is well adapted to production systems characterized by drought, low soil fertility, and high temperature. It is higher yielding than foxtail millet and regrows rapidly after harvest if an 8- to 10-inch stubble height is left. Dwarf varieties, which are leafier and better suited for grazing, are available.

The brown midrib or BMR trait is outward expression of a genetic mutation in forage sorghum, sorghum-sudangrass, sudangrass, and pearl millet. In most cases, plants possessing the BMR trait contain less or altered lignin, making the plant more digestible and increasing animal production. Therefore, it is

desirable to seed summer annuals that have the BMR trait in addition to other desirable characteristics like high yield. With BMR varieties, the midrib of the leaf appears brown or tannish in color.

Teff, also referred to as summer lovegrass (*Eragrostis tef*), is a warm-season annual grass native to Ethiopia and has been used as a grain crop for thousands of years. Recently, there has been considerable interest in teff as a forage crop. It is high quality, palatable, and fine stemmed and therefore makes excellent hay.

Crabgrass (*Digitaria sanguinalis*) is a warm season annual which propagates by seed. It is adapted to many soil types. Crabgrass can be utilized by either grazing or haying and is one of the highest quality warm season forages at a vegetative stage.

Important Selection Considerations

Local adaptation and seasonal yield.

Choose a variety/species that is adapted to your region of Kentucky, as indicated by good performance across years and locations in replicated yield trials. Also, look for varieties that are productive in the desired season of use. For management recommendations, check with your county Extension agent or see the forage website at www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage.

The following comprehensive bulletins may be especially useful:

- Grain and Forage Crop Guide for Kentucky (AGR-18)
- Establishing Forage Crops (AGR-64)
- Rotational Grazing (ID-143)
- Extending Grazing and Reducing Stored Feed Needs (AGR-199)
- Forage Identification and Use Guide (AGR-175)
- Lime and Fertilizer Recommendations (AGR-1)
- Sudangrass and Sorghum-Sudangrass Hybrids (AGR-234)
- Pearl Millet (AGR-231)
- Forage Sorghum (AGR-230)
- Crabgrass (AGR-232)

Seed quality. Buy premium-quality seed that is high in germination and purity and free from weed seed. Buy certified seed or proprietary seed of an improved variety. An improved variety is one that has performed well in independent trials. Other information on

the label will include the test date (which must be within the past nine months), the level of germination, and the amount of other crop and weed seed. Order seed well in advance of planting time to assure that it will be available when needed.

Description of the Tests

Yield trials. Plots were seeded at the recommended seeding rate per acre and were planted into a prepared seedbed with a disk drill. Plots were 5 feet by 15 feet in a randomized complete block design with four replications. Grass plots were typically fertilized with 60 pounds of actual N per acre in March, after the first cutting, and again in late summer for a total of up to 180 pounds per acre per season. No nitrogen was applied to the legume trials. Other fertilizers (lime, P, and K) were applied as needed according to the University of Kentucky soil test recommendations. The tests were harvested using a sickle-type forage plot harvester to simulate a spring cut hay/summer grazing/fall stockpile management system. Fresh weight samples were taken at each harvest to calculate percent dry matter production. Management practices for establishment, fertility, weed control, and harvest timing were in accordance with University of Kentucky recommendations.

Grazing trials. Plots were 5 feet by 15 feet in a randomized complete block design, with each variety replicated six times. Plots were seeded at the recommended seeding rate per acre and were planted into a prepared seedbed using a disk drill. Grazing was continuous from April to October.

Plots were grazed down to below 4 inches quickly and were maintained at 2 to 4 inches (sometimes less) for the remainder of the grazing season. Supplemental hay was fed during periods of slowest growth. Visual ratings of percent stand were made in the fall several weeks after the cattle were removed to check stand survival after the grazing season and in the spring prior to grazing to check on winter survival and spring growth. Because trials were seeded in

rows, persistence ratings were based on density within a row and not total ground cover. Grass plots were fertilized with 60 pounds of actual N per acre in the spring and 30 to 40 pounds of actual N in early November after cattle or horses were removed from the pasture. Other fertilizers (lime, P, and K) were applied as needed according to the University of Kentucky soil test recommendations. Management practices for establishment, fertility, and weed control were in accordance with University of Kentucky recommendations.

Results and Discussion

These tables summarize long-term yield and stand persistence data of commercial varieties that have been entered in the University of Kentucky trials. The data are listed as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties entered in each specific trial. In other words, the mean for each trial is 100 percent; varieties with percentages over 100 yielded better than average, and varieties with percentages less than 100 yielded lower than average. For the grazing trials, varieties with percentages over 100 persisted better than average, and varieties with percentages less than 100 persisted less than average. Also in the grazing trials, the alfalfa varieties were compared to Alfagraze, and the fescue varieties were compared to KY31+ instead of the mean of all the commercial varieties. In the horse grazing trials, the fescue varieties were compared to KY31- instead of the mean of all the commercial varieties. Direct, statistical comparisons of varieties cannot be made using the summary tables, but these comparisons do help to identify varieties for further consideration. Varieties that have performed better than average over many years and at several locations have very stable performance; others may have performed very well in wet years or on particular soil types. These details may influence variety choice, and the information can be found in the yearly reports. See the footnote in each table to determine which yearly report should be referenced.

Summary

Selecting a good forage variety is an important first step in establishing a productive stand of forage. Proper management, beginning with seedbed preparation and continuing throughout the life of the stand, is necessary for even the highest-yielding variety to produce to its genetic potential. For more detailed information on yield and grazing tolerance within species, go to individual 2019 reports on the forage website. See below for specific reports. The forage website (forages.ca.uky.edu) contains all reports from 2001 through 2019.

Yield and Grazing Tolerance Reports

Individual forage species reports can be found at www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage/ForageVarietyTrials2.htm.

- 2019 Alfalfa Report (PR-763)
- 2019 Red and White Clover Report (PR-764)
- 2019 Orchardgrass Report (PR-765)
- 2019 Tall Fescue and Bromegrass Report (PR-766)
- 2019 Timothy and Kentucky Bluegrass Report (PR-767)
- 2019 Annual and Perennial Ryegrass and Festulolium Report (PR-768)
- 2019 Alfalfa Grazing Tolerance Report (PR-769)
- 2019 Red and White Clover Grazing Tolerance Report (PR-770)
- 2019 Cool-Season Grass Grazing Tolerance Report (PR-771)
- 2019 Cool-Season Grass Horse Grazing Report (PR-772)
- 2019 Annual Grass Report: Warm Season and Cool Season (Cereals) (PR-773)
- 2019 Long-Term Summary of Kentucky Forage Variety Trials (PR-774)

About the Authors

G.L. Olson is a research specialist, S.R. Smith and J.C. Henning are Extension professors and forage specialists, and C.D. Teutsch is an Extension associate professor and forage specialist.

Table 1. Summary of Kentucky white clover yield trials 2002-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Type	Proprietor	Lexington											Princeton			Quicksand		Eden		Mean ³ (#trials)			
			02 ^{1,2} 3yr ⁴	03 3yr	04 3-yr	06 2-yr	07 2-yr	08 3yr	09 2yr	10 3yr	11 3yr	12 2yr	13 3yr	14 3yr	15 2yr	16 3yr	17 3yr	18 2-yr	03 3-yr	05 3-yr		03 2yr	03 2yr	
Advantage	Ladino	Allied Seed, L.L.C.																						
Alice	Intermediate	Barenbrug USA	125																					
Avoca	Dutch	DLF Pickseed				59																		
Barblanca	Intermediate	Barenbrug USA		92																				
Bombus	Ladino	Hood River																						
Brianna	Ladino	DLF Pickseed																						
CA ladino	Ladino	Public		124																				
Colt	Intermediate	Seed Research of OR	100			57																		
Common	Dutch	Public	100				53																	
Companion	Ladino	Oregro Seeds						87	94	92														
Crescendo	Ladino	Cal/West Seeds	105			140																		
Crusader II	Intermediate	Allied Seed, L.L.C.																						
Excel	Ladino	Allied Seed, L.L.C.																						
Domino	Ladino	Grassland Oregon																						
Durana	Intermediate	Pennington																						
GWC-AS10	Ladino	Ampac Seed	94			94	88	82	85	97	93	84	97	89	78	99	89	73	87	83	101	95		89(18)
Insight	Ladino	Allied Seed, L.L.C.				128					102													
Ivory	Intermediate	Cebeco	96																					
Ivory II	Intermediate	DLF Pickseed					86																	
Jumbo	Ladino	Ampac Seed	93																					
Jumbo II	Ladino	Ampac Seed										121	101		99									
Kakariki	Ladino	Luisetti Seeds															108							
Kopu II	Intermediate	Ampac Seed																						
KY Select	Intermediate	KY Ag. Exp. Station	97																					
Neches	Intermediate	Barenbrug USA																						
Ocoee	Ladino	Allied Seed, L.L.C.																						
Patriot	Intermediate	Pennington																						
Pinnacle	Ladino	Allied Seed, L.L.C.																						
Rampart	Ladino	Allied Seed, L.L.C.																						
Regal	Ladino	Public	99	96	92																			
RegalGraze	Ladino	Cal/West Seeds				127	140	102	103															
Renovation	Intermediate	Smith Seed Services																						
Resolute	Intermediate	Southern States																						
RIVENDEL	-	DLF Pickseed																						
Seminole	Ladino	Saddle Butte Ag. Inc																						
Super Haifa	Intermediate	Allied Seed, L.L.C.																						
Tillman II	Ladino	Caudill Seed	103																					
WBDX	Dutch	Saddle Butte Ag. Inc																						
Will	Ladino	Allied Seed, L.L.C.	107			162	150	132	107	119	137	130	123	143	140	140	140	122	136					128(15)

1 Year trial was established.
2 Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2010 was harvested three years, so the final report would be “2012 Red and White Clover Report” archived in the UK Forage website at forages.ca.uky.edu.
3 Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.
4 Number of years of data.

Table 3. Summary of Kentucky alfalfa yield trials 2004-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the test).

Variety	Proprietor	Variety Characteristics ¹										Lexington						Princeton						Mean ⁵ (# trials)
		FD	Disease Resistance ²			APH	04 ^{3,4} 5yr ⁶	06 7yr	08 6yr	11 6yr	12 6yr	15 5yr	16 4yr	17 3yr	05 5yr	08 5yr	09 6yr	11 4yr	13 3yr					
			Bw	Fw	An															PRR				
PGI 459	Producers Choice	4	HR	HR	HR	R		102																
Phirst	UniSouth Genetics	4	HR	HR	HR	R																		
Phoenix	Southern States	5	HR	HR	HR	R	113	99	102	105					105		94		102(6)					
Radiance HD	Ampac Seed/Cisco	4	HR	HR	HR	HR			101							103	103		103(3)					
Radiant-AM	Ampac Seed	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	97																	
Rebound 5.0	Croplan Genetics	4	HR	HR	HR	HR		103											103(2)					
Rebound 6.0	Croplan Genetics	4	HR	HR	HR	HR			104							101			103(2)					
Rebound 6XT	Croplan Genetics	4	HR	HR	HR	HR					102													
Reward II	PGI Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	R	HR								103										
Saranac AR (certified)	Public	4	MR	R	HR	LR	77	85	86	91	97	93	95	95	88	92	82	97	90(13)					
TripleTrust 450	ABI Alfalfa	5	HR	HR	HR	HR								100										
TripleTrust 500	Central Farm Supply	5	HR	HR	HR	HR				108														
USG 681HY	UniSouth Genetics	6	HR	HR	HR	HR									113									
Vernal	Public	2	R	MR										95										
Withstand	Southern States	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	100	90	90		96				100		87		95(5)					
WL 343HQ	W-L Research	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	101	110	110						100				104(3)					
WL 354HQ	W-L Research	4	HR	HR	HR	HR											115							
WL 357HQ	W-L Research	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	123							106					115(2)					
WL 363HQ	W-L Research	5	HR	HR	HR	HR			105	103					105				104(3)					
WL 365HQ	W-L Research	5	HR	HR	HR	HR						97												
4030	Brett Young Seeds	4	HR	HR	HR	HR					104													
53H92	Pioneer	3	HR	HR	HR	HR				95														
54Q32	Pioneer	4	HR	HR	HR	HR				99														
55V48	Pioneer	5	HR	HR	HR	HR				102														
55V50	Pioneer	5	HR	R	Hr	HR					110							105	108(2)					
6400HT	NEXGROW	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	108																	
6415	NEXGROW	4	HR	HR	HR	HR								103										
6417	NEXGROW	4	HR	HR	HR	HR			105															
6422Q	NEXGROW	4	HR	HR	HR	HR									102									
6552	NEXGROW	5	HR	HR	HR	HR			105										107(2)					

¹ Variety characteristics: FD = fall dormancy, Bw = bacterial wilt, Fw = fusarium wilt, An = anthracnose, PRR = phytophthora root rot, APH = aphanomyces root rot. Information provided by seed companies. Leaflet.pdf.

² Disease resistance: S = susceptible, LR = low resistance, MR = moderate resistance, R = resistance, HR = high resistance. More detailed disease and insect resistance ratings at www.alfalfa.org/pdf/2019_Alfalfa_Variety

³ Year trial was established.

⁴ Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific test. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2008 was harvested for six years, so the final yield report would be "2013 Alfalfa Report" archived in the UK Forage website at forages.ca.uky.edu.

⁵ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁶ Number of years of data.

Table 4. Summary of Kentucky Roundup Ready alfalfa yield trials 2011-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the test).

Variety	Proprietor	Variety Characteristics ¹						Lexington			Princeton			Quicksand	Mean ⁵ (# trials)
		FD	Disease Resistance ²					12 ^{3,4}	15	16	11	13	15	14	
			Bw	Fw	An	PRR	APH	6yr ⁶	5yr	3-yr	5yr	4yr	2yr	2yr	
Alfagraze 300 RR	America's Alfalfa	3	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	95	95	101	93	99	93		96(6)
Alfagraze 600 RR	America's Alfalfa	6		R	HR	R	R		99				85	93	92(3)
Ameristand 405T RR	America's Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	100	101	91	97	100	98	93	97(7)
Ameristand 433T RR	America's Alfalfa	3	HR	R	R	HR	HR	92	98	100		95	96	107	98(6)
Ameristand 445TQ RR	America's Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	105	104			100			103(3)
AphaTron RR	Croplan Genetics	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	99				98			99(2)
Consistency 4.10 RR	Croplan Genetics	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	101			102				102(2)
DKA-41-18 RR	Monsanto	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	100			101		100		100(3)
DKA 44-16 RR	Monsanto	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	104				100			102(2)
Stratica RR	Croplan Genetics	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	97		104		96			99(3)
Tonnica RR	Crop Genetics	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	105				101			103(2)
WL 355 RR	W-L Research	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	99			102		110		104(3)
WL 356HQ RR	W-L Research	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	100	98			96			98(3)
WL 372HQ RR	W-L Research	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	102				106			104(2)
428 RR	Allied Seed	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR		99	99		104		111	103(4)
54R02 RR	Dupont Pioneer	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	97	108	96	104		102	97	101(6)
55VR06 RR	Dupont Pioneer	5	HR	R	Hr	HR	HR		94					99	97(2)
55VR08 RR	Dupont Pioneer	5	-	HR	HR	HR	HR		104	109			110		108(3)
6516R RR	NEXGROW	5	HR	-	HR	HR	HR	106				109			108(2)

¹ Variety characteristics: FD = fall dormancy, Bw = bacterial wilt, Fw = fusarium wilt, An = anthracnose, PRR = phytophthora root rot, APH = aphanomyces root rot. Information provided by seed companies.

² Disease resistance: S = susceptible, LR = low resistance, MR = moderate resistance, R = resistance, HR = high resistance. More detailed disease and insect resistance ratings at www.alfalfa.org/pdf/2019_Alfalfa_Variety_Leaflet.pdf.

³ Year trial was established.

⁴ Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific test. For example, the Princeton trial planted in 2011 was harvested for five years, so the final yield report would be "2015 Alfalfa Report" archived in the UK Forage website at forages.ca.uky.edu.

⁵ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁶ Number of years of data.

Table 5. Summary of Kentucky tall fescue yield trials 2002-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Endophyte Status ¹	Proprietor	Lexington							Princeton							Quicksand					Mean ⁴ (#trials)		
			03-2-3 2-yr ⁵	05 3-yr	07 3-yr	09 3-yr	11 3-yr	12 3-yr	13 3-yr	14 3-yr	15 3-yr	16 3-yr	17 2-yr	02 3-yr	04 3-yr	06 3-yr	08 3-yr	10 3-yr	12 3-yr	15 2-yr	03 2-yr		05 4-yr	13 3-yr
Tower	free	DLF Pickseed												101									91	96(2)
Tower Protek	novel	DLF Pickseed						98						104									81	94(3)
Tuscany	free	Forage Genetics																						
Tuscany II	free	Seed Research of OR								97														
SCAN	free	Brett Young							86															100(3)

1 Free varieties that do not contain an endophyte. Toxic-KY31+ contains a toxic endophyte. Novel-varieties that contain an endophyte that aids persistence but is not toxic to cattle.

2 Year trial was established.

3 Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2012 was harvested two years, so the final report would be "2015 Tall Fescue Report" archived in the UK Forage website at forages.ca.uky.edu.

4 Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

5 Number of years of data.

Table 6. Summary of Kentucky orchardgrass yield trials 2002-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor	Lexington							Princeton							Quicksand					Mean ³ (#trials)				
		2003 ^{1,2} 3-yr ⁴	2006 4-yr	2007 3-yr	2009 3-yr	2011 3-yr	2012 3-yr	2013 3-yr	2014 3-yr	2015 3-yr	2016 3-yr	2017 2-yr	2002 3-yr	2004 3-yr	2006 3-yr	2008 3-yr	2010 3-yr	2012 3-yr	2015 2-yr	2003 3-yr		2005 4-yr	2010 3-yr	2013 3-yr	2016 3-yr
Albert	Oregro Seeds																							98	99(2)
Aldebaran	DLF Pickseed										103														
Alpine II	Mountain View Seeds									106															
Ambassador	DLF Pickseed											95													
Ambrosia	American Grass Seed Prod.													90											
Benchmark	Southern States																								
Benchmark Plus	Southern States	100	108	105	106	97	109	104				113			107	104	102	107		107	102	94	102		104(16)
Berta	Mountain View Seeds																								
Bounty	Allied Seed																								
Century	Seed Research of Oregon																								100(2)
Checkmate	Seed Research of Oregon																								101(2)
Christoss	Proseeds Marketing																								
Command	Seed Research of Oregon													87											
Crown	Donley Seed																								
Crown Royale Plus	Donley Seed			97																					101(3)
Devour	Mountain View Seeds																								103(2)
Echelon	DLF Pickseed																								
Elise	Rose-AgriSeed																								
Endurance	DLF Pickseed																								
Extend	Allied Seed																								
Hallmark	James VanLeeuwen																								105(4)
Harvestar	Columbia Seeds	102	91	97				94				103	98					98							100(4)
Haymaster	Southern States																								100(6)
Haymate	Southern States																								98(3)
																									105(2)

Continued

Table 6. Summary of Kentucky orchardgrass yield trials 2002-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor	Lexington												Princeton						Quicksand						Mean ³ (#trials)
		2003 ^{1,2}		2006	2007	2009	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2002	2004	2006	2008	2010	2012	2015	2003	2005	2010	2013	2016	
		3-yr ⁴	4-yr	3-yr	3-yr	3-yr	3-yr	3-yr	3-yr	3-yr	3-yr	3-yr	2-yr	3-yr	3-yr	3-yr	3-yr	3-yr	3-yr	2-yr	3-yr	4-yr	3-yr	3-yr	3-yr	
Icon	Seed Research of Oregon		105																		98					102(2)
Inavale	DLF Pickseed								99	94									97						106	99(4)
Intensiv	Barenbrug																									
Lazuly	Proseeds Marketing	102																								
LG-31	DLF Pickseed											92														
Lyra	Hood River Seed																									
Megabite	Turf-Seed																									89(3)
Olathe	DLF Pickseed																									
Paiute	DLF Pickseed																									104(4)
Persist	Smith Seed	123	105	106	107	112	106	100	103	111	98	108	101													
Potomac	Public																									105(20)
Prairie	Turner Seed																									101(16)
Prodigy	Caudill Seed																									107(21)
Profit	Ampac Seed																									99(7)
RAD-LCF 25	Radix Research																									100(13)
Rushmore II	Mountain View seeds																									101(2)
Shawnee	Rose-AgriSeed																									103(3)
Shiloh II	Proseeds Marketing																									
SS07080GDT	Southern States																									
Takena	Smith Seed																									102(6)
Tekena II	Smith Seed	110	102																							
Tekapo	Ampac Seed																									106(5)
Treposno	Hood River Seed																									86(15)
Tucker	Oregro Seeds																									97(3)
Udder	Improved Forages	100	107																							95(5)
Vailliant	Proseeds Marketing																									103(5)

1 Year trial was established.
2 Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2012 was harvested three years, so the final report would be "2015 Orchardgrass Report" archived in the UK Forage website at forages.ca.uky.edu.
3 Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.
4 Number of years of data.

Table 7. Summary of Kentucky timothy yield trials 2000-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor/KY Distributor	Lexington															Quicksand		Princeton		Mean ³ (#trials)
		00 ^{1,2}	01	02	06	07	08	09	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	99	01	00	04		
		2yr ⁴	3yr	4yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	2yr	2yr	2yr	2yr			
Alma	Newfield Seeds/Caudill Seed																	81	-		
Anjo	Hood River Seed													81					-		
Aurora	General Feed and Grain	100														98			99(2)		
Barfleo	Barenbrug USA							95	91	101		108	80	97	98				96(7)		
Barpenta	Barenbrug USA					74			82	82					98				84(4)		
Clair	KY Ag. Exp. Station		104	113	107	95	107	104	112	99	97	111	107	88	94		106	122	104(15)		
Classic	Cebeco International Seeds	100		86												86			91(3)		
Climax	Canada Agr. Res. Station				79	102	104	98	102	100	82	96	90	102	94				95(11)		
Colt	FS Growmark	105		100	90											112		99	101(5)		
Common	Public		95																-		
Comtral	Caudill Seed									92	92								92(2)		
Dawn	Hood River Seed														101				-		
Derby	Southern States				112	111		106	112	108	112	119	123	112				124	113(10)		
Dolina	DLF Pickseed	99		90															95(2)		
Express	Seed Research of Oregon			95		91		97	95										95(4)		
Hokuei	Snow Brand Seed	103																	-		
Hokusei	Snow Brand Seed	96														99			98(2)		
Joliette	Newfield Seeds/Caudill Seed						86	89										90	88(3)		
Jonaton	Newfield Seeds/Caudill Seed																	84	-		
KY Early	Smith Seed/Central Farm Supply	102	103	115			102				119				115	104	103		108(8)		
Outlaw	Grassland West Company																107		-		
Richmond	Pickseed Canada Inc.	100														103			102(2)		
Summergraze	Brett Young										96								-		
Summit	Allied Seed, L.L.C.			112															-		
Talon	Seed Research of Oregon				110	112		108	106	109									109(5)		
Tenho	Barenbrug USA											84							-		
Treasure	Seed Research of Oregon				103	115		103	101	108									106(5)		
Tundra	DLF Pickseed	95																	-		
Tuukka	Ampac Seed Company		94	88													91	93	92(4)		
Varis	Mountain View Seeds											83							-		
Zenyatta	DLF Pickseed										103			119					111(2)		

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2012 was harvested three years, so the final report would be "2015 Timothy and Kentucky Bluegrass Report" archived in the UK Forage website at forages.ca.uky.edu.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ Number of years of data.

Table 8. Summary of Kentucky bluegrass yield trials at Lexington 2004-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor/ KY Distributor	04 ^{1,2}	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	16	17	Mean ³ (#trials)
		3yr ⁴	4yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	2yr	
Adam 1	Radix Research	98												-
Balin	Pure Seed												99	-
Barderby	Barenbrug USA			94		101	91	98	87	103	101	103	123	100(9)
Big Blue	Rose-AgriSeed					82			95					89(2)
Common	Public		71	66	68									68(3)
Ginger	ProSeeds Marketing		118	119	114	118	112	107	110	107	95	101	117	110(11)
Kenblue	Public	102	133				96	95	118	95	100			106(7)
Lato	Turf Seed Inc.			122										-
Park (certified)	Public								90	95	104	117	84	98(5)
RAD-5	Radix Research		103											-
RAD-339	Radix Research		101											-
RAD-643	Radix Research		94											-
RAD-731zx	Radix Research		87											-
RAD-762	Radix Research		94											-
RAD-1039	Radix Research				118									-
Tirem	DLF Pickseed											79	77	78(2)

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties.

To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2012 was harvested three years, so the final report would be "2015 Timothy and Kentucky Bluegrass Report" archived in the UK Forage website at forages.ca.uky.edu.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ Number of years of data.

Table 9. Summary of Kentucky annual ryegrass yield trials 2003-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the yield value of Marshall).

Variety	Type	Proprietor	Lexington ¹																	Mean ⁴ (#trials)		
			03 ^{2,3}	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	10	11	12	12	13	14	15	16	17		18	
Abundant	tetraploid	Ampac Seed			12																	
Acrobat	-	Proseeds Marketing					144															
AE110	Westerworld tetraploid	Pickseed USA, Inc.								89	100										95(2)	
Amp	Westerworld tetraploid	Columbia Seeds										75										
Assist	Westerworld diploid	SaddleButte										88										
Attain	Westerworld tetraploid	Smith Seed Services							111			52	69								90(2)	
Baequano	Westerworld tetraploid	Smith Seed Services							133			103	95	125	108					77		
Barmultra II	Italian tetraploid	Barenbrug USA																			117(4)	
Big Bang	-	Brett Young											67									
Big Boss	Westerworld tetraploid	Smith Seed Services							98			86	38	73							86(3)	
Big Daddy	Westerworld tetraploid	FFR/Sou. St.							86	98	82										89(3)	
Bill	Westerworld diploid	Smith Seed Services											62									
Brangus	Italian tetraploid	KB SeedSolutions							94													
Bruiser	Westerworld diploid	Ampac Seed					65	105	100			100	105	86	113						95(9)	
Centurion	Westerworld diploid	Mountain View Seeds										97		100	117						112(4)	
DH-3	Italian tetraploid	Allied Seed					91	27													69(3)	
Diamond T	Italian tetraploid	Oregro Seeds			8						89											
Dixie Gold	Westerworld tetraploid	Caudill Seed											19									
DoubleDiamond	Westerworld tetraploid	Oregro Seeds																	84			
Dyna-Gain	Westerworld diploid	Columbia Seeds											71									
Ed	Westerworld diploid	Smith Seed Services							96				101	100							98(2)	
Fantastic	Westerworld diploid	Ampac Seed					48	84													86(3)	
Feast II	Italian tetraploid	Ampac Seed						35	113	109		81	93	71	47	56	88	80	87	65		80(11)
Flying A	Westerworld diploid	Oregro Seeds					39	59														
Fox	Italian diploid	DLF Pickseed							109													
Fria	Westerworld diploid	Allied Seed							95													
GR-AS10	Italian	Ampac Seed							113												89(6)	
Graze-N-Gro	Westerworld diploid	Seed Research of OR																				
Green Farm	Westerworld diploid	Smith Seed Services													85						91(2)	
Gulf	Westerworld diploid	Public						67	26	87	78		76	72	27	69	60	87	87	56		70(11)
Hercules	Westerworld tetraploid	Barenbrug USA												91	68							
HS-1	Italian diploid	KB SeedSolutions							72													
Jackson	Westerworld diploid	The Wax Co.																				
Jumbo	Westerworld tetraploid	Barenbrug USA																			94(15)	
KB Royal	Italian diploid	KB SeedSolutions							83												94(3)	
Koga	Westerworld tetraploid	Smith Seed Services																				
Kospeed	Westerworld diploid	Smith Seed Services													80	92					95(2)	
Kowinearly	Westerworld diploid	Smith Seed Services													95	96					86(2)	
LHT-102	Intermediate	Ampac Seed																			96(2)	
Marshall	Westerworld diploid	The Wax Co.																				
Master	Westerworld tetraploid	Smith Seed Services																			100(16)	
Maximo	Intermediate tetraploid	Pickseed USA, Inc.											101									
Maximus	Westerworld tetraploid	Barenbrug USA																		63	84	74(2)
Meiquatro	Italian tetraploid	Hood River Seed													135					72	104(2)	
Merona	Westerworld diploid	Smith Seed Services																	93	102		98(2)
MX 108	Westerworld tetraploid	Pickseed USA, Inc.											95	114								105(2)
Nelson	Westerworld tetraploid	The Wax Co.								86												89(7)

Continued

Table 9. Summary of Kentucky annual ryegrass yield trials 2003-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the yield value of Marshall).

Variety	Type	Proprietor	Lexington ¹																	Mean ⁴ (#trials)		
			03 ^{2,3}	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	10	11	12	12	13	14	15	16	17		18	
Oryx	Italian diploid	Hood River Seed															100					
Primecut	Westerworld brand	Oregro Seeds									94											
Spark	tetraploid	DLF Pickseed																				
Stockaid	diploid	-			82																	
Striker	Westerworld tetraploid	Seed Research of OR					90															
TAMTBO	Westerworld tetraploid	Tex. Ag Exp Sta.						47			101									91		87(6)
Tam 90	Italian diploid	Tex. Ag Exp Sta.						49														64(2)
TetraPrime	Italian tetraploid	Mountain View Seeds											101				104	91	99	90		97(6)
TetraPro	Italian tetraploid	Tex. Ag Exp Sta.						40														
TillageRootMax	Westerworld diploid	Cover Crop Solutions										82	90									86(2)
T-Rex	Westerworld tetraploid	SaddleButte				11																
Trinova	Westerworld tetraploid	Smith Seed Services																			78	
Ugne	Italian tetraploid	Hood River Seed																102				
Verdure	Westerworld tetraploid	Smith Seed Services							86													72(2)
Winterhawk	Westerworld diploid	Oregro Seeds							104											113	96	107(6)

¹ In annual ryegrass, low yielding varieties usually result from winterkill. Note: Due to severe winterkill, yield results from the 2006 and 2013 plantings were not included in the overall mean.

² Year trial was established.

³ Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2015 was harvested one year, so the final report would be "2016 Annual and Perennial Ryegrass and Festulolium Report" archived in the UK Forage website at forages.ca.uky.edu.

⁴ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

Table 10. Summary of Kentucky perennial ryegrass yield trials 2000-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Type	Proprietor	Lexington																	Bowling Green			Mean ^{3,4} (#trials)		
			01 ^{1,2} 2yr ⁵	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	Princeton 00	02	03				
Aires	diploid	Ampac Seed	95																						94(2)
Albion	tetraploid	Grasslands Oregon												105											104(2)
Amazon	tetraploid	AgriBioTech			99														107						103(2)
Anaconda	tetraploid	Caudill Seed														95				103					99(2)
Aubisque	tetraploid	Seed Research of OR		144																		99			122(2)
Bandit	tetraploid	Grassland West														106									110(2)
Barvitra	diploid	Barenbrug USA																							-
Bastion C-2	tetraploid	Seed Research of OR			91																				-
Bestfor	tetraploid	Improved Forages																113	107		120				113(3)
Best for Plus	hybrid tetraploid	Improved Forages		116	108	118																136			120(4)
BG-34	diploid	Barenbrug USA				83	85				86														85(8)
Bison	hybrid tetraploid	International Seeds																							-
Boost	tetraploid	Allied Seed						130	125	120	143	110	103	102								140			119(7)
Boxer	tetraploid	AgriBioTech																							-
Calibra	tetraploid	DLF Pickseed						96	109	81	99	103	96	87	100	98	98								98(11)
CAS MP64	diploid	Cascade International																							-
Citadel	tetraploid	Ag Canada																94	113	103					103(3)
Crave	tetraploid	Ampac Seed										95													-
Derby	-	Public																				74			-

Continued

Table 11. Summary of Kentucky festulolium yield trials 2001-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).¹

Variety	Type ²	Proprietor	Lexington													Mean ⁵ (#trials)		
			2001 ^{3,4}	2005	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017				
			2yr ⁶	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	2yr	3yr	2yr	3yr	3yr	2yr				
Agula	MF x IR	Allied Seed					94											–
Barfest	MF x PR	Barenbrug USA					105	101	107	119	91	92	92					101(7)
Bonus	MF x IR	Allied Seed					93	46	32	34								51(4)
Duo	MF x PR	Ampac Seed		89	98	99	95	106	103	96	96	83	83	81				94(11)
Felina	(TF x IR) x TF	DLF Pickseed	104				132	118	134	114	96							116(6)
Fojtan	(TF x IR) x TF	DLF Pickseed					112	101	124	92	72	94	100	95				99(8)
Gain	MF x IR	Allied Seed					103	77	52	75								77(4)
Hostyn	MF x IR	DLF Pickseed							107	110	106			108				108(4)
Hykor	(TF x IR) x TF	DLF Pickseed					133	141	153	131	119	121	112					130(7)
InaMerlin	MF x IR	Hood River Seed												88				–
Kenfest	MF x AR	KY Ag. Exp. Station														100		–
Lofa	(TF x Int) x Int	DLF Pickseed					105	107	110	128	112	91	109	110	110			109(8)
Mahulena	(TF x IR) x TF	DLF Pickseed							131	109	107			111	100			112(5)
Meadow Green	–	Pure Seed							37	34								36(2)
Perseus	MF x IR	DLF Pickseed					132	114	126	123	110	109	105	113	113			117(8)
Perun	MF x IR	DLF Pickseed					127	114	107	131	110	102	99	114	114			112(8)
Rebab	(TF x IR) x TF	DLF Pickseed								94	77							86(2)
Spring Green	MF x PR	Turf-Seed	96	111	114	101	113	112	114	110	103	107	92	91				105(12)
Sweet Tart	MF x IR	ProSeeds Marketing			88		82	63	62									74(4)

¹ The festuloliums were in fescue trials from 2001-2005 and in perennial ryegrass trials from 2008-2009.

² MF = meadow fescue, TF = tall fescue, IR = Italian ryegrass, PR = perennial ryegrass, Int = intermediate ryegrass.

³ Year trial was established.

⁴ Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties.

To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2012 was harvested three years, so the final report would be "2015 Annual and Perennial Ryegrass and Festulolium Report" archived in the UK Forage website at forages.ca.uky.edu.

⁵ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁶ Number of years of data.

Table 12. Summary of Kentucky bromegrass yield trials at Lexington 2006-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial.)

Variety	Type	Proprietor/ KY Distributor	2006 ^{1,2}	2008	2010	2012	2014	2015	2016	2017	Mean ³ (#trials)
			4-yr ⁴	3-yr	3-yr	3-yr	3-yr	3-yr	3-yr	2-yr	
AC Knowles	hybrid	Agriculture Canada	85		82	102	89				89(4)
Admiral	meadow	Cisco Seeds							104	108	106(2)
Arid	meadow	Mountain View Seeds							96	93	95(2)
Bigfoot	hybrid	Grassland Oregon	108	116	105						110(3)
Canterbury	mountain	Barenbrug USA		79							–
Carlton	smooth	Pickseed USA				82	95				91(2)
Doina	smooth	Barenbrug USA		114	108						111(2)
Fleet	meadow	Agriculture Canada	110			109					110(2)
Hakari	Alaska	Barenbrug USA		85	85						85(2)
MacBeth	meadow	Cisco Seeds		136	119	107	116	107	102	111	114(7)
Olga	smooth	Barenbrug USA		116	101						109(2)
Peak	smooth	Allied Seed		97		100		93	96	87	95(5)
Persister	prairie	DLF Pickseed		72							–
RAD-BI29	smooth	Columbia Seeds	96	86							91(2)

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties.

To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2012 was harvested three years, so the final report would be "2015 Tall Fescue and Brome Report" archived in the UK Forage website at forages.ca.uky.edu.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ Number of years of data.

Table 13. Summary of Kentucky sudangrass yield trials 2008-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor/ KY Distributor	Lexington											Princeton			Mean ³ (#trials)		
		2008 ^{1,2}	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018		2019	
All trials are 1 year yields																		
AS9301 BMR ⁴	Advanta Seeds/ Ramer Seed					118											-	
AS9302 BMR (Brachytic Dwarf)	Advanta Seeds/ Ramer Seed											124	104	102	119	117	115	114(6)
Enorma BMR	Cal/West Seeds			99	94	92	91	83	91	98								93(7)
FSG 1000 BMR	Farm Science Genetics								101	124	110							112(3)
Hayking BMR	Central Farm Supply	111	112	91	97	97	96	92	94	90	80	109		99				97(12)
Monarch V	Public	104	96	102	97	93	98	110	99	82								98(9)
Piper	Public	90	91	97	94	104	105	89	94	85	81	86	93	86	99	88		92(15)
ProMax BMR	Ampac Seed	95	101	110	115	96	103	100	111	111	106	102	101	96	84	87		101(15)
SS130 BMR	Cal/West Seeds			101	103		107	106	110	109	99		93			97		103(9)
Trudan Headless	S & W Seed Company							118						112			113	114(3)

¹ Establishment year.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific tables in this report to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ BMR (Brown Mid-rib) means that a variety has been developed to produce lower amounts of lignin which usually translates into higher quality.

Table 14. Summary of Kentucky sorghum-sudangrass yield trials 2008-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor/KY Distributor	Lexington											Princeton			Mean ³ (#trials)		
		2008 ^{1,2}	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018		2019	
All trials are 1 year yields																		
AS6401 BMR ⁴	Advanta Seeds/ Ramer Seed												84			112		98(2)
AS6402 BMR (Brachytic Dwarf)	Advanta Seeds/ Ramer Seed					91						78	82	67	98	98	91	86(7)
AS6503 BMR	Advanta Seeds/ Ramer Seed						96	103	90									96(3)
AS6504 BMR (Dry Stalk)	Advanta Seeds/ Ramer Seed											105	103		114	112		109(4)
Danny Boy II BMR	Dyna-Gro Seeds													117			110	114(2)
FSG 208 BMR	Farm Science Genetics			75														-
FSG 214 BMR	Farm Science Genetics						99	108	112						109	111		108(5)
FSG 215 BMR	Farm Science Genetics								112									-
Fullgraze II	Dyna-Gro Seeds													100			108	104(2)
Fullgraze II BMR	Dyna-Gro Seeds													97			106	102(2)
F75FS13	Dyna-Gro Seeds													94			76	85(92)
Greengrazer V	Farm Science Genetics			166			122	107	92	103	110							117(6)
GW300 BMR	Gayland Ward Seed				88	78	88	81	73	101	100	98		79				87(9)
HyGain	Turner Seed	104	105	118						110	127	117	121	130	108	121		116(10)
KFSugar-Pro555	Byron Seed											110						-
MS 202 BMR	Farm Science Genetics			106														-
Nutra-King BMR	Gayland Ward Seed								110	108	96	113	118	108	114	105		109(8)
NutraPlus BMR	Public	106	97	94	103	106	109	106	96									102(8)
Sordan Headless	Chromatin							105										-
Special Effort	Public	109	110	93	94	115	120	91	111									105(8)
SS211	Southern States				104	93	114	103	118	111	121	118		109	87			108(10)
SS220 BMR	Southern States		107	84		112												101(3)
Sugar Graze II	Coffey Seed												110			110		110(2)
Surpass BMR	Turner Seed	81	80	64						79	84	75	75	88	97	74		80(10)
Super Sugar	Gayland Ward Seed				102	117	107		125	85				91				105(6)
Super Sugar BMR	Gayland Ward Seed									107								-
Super Sugar (Delayed Maturity)	Gayland Ward Seed							101	82		89	104		95	83			92(6)

Continued

Table 14. Summary of Kentucky sorghum-sudangrass yield trials 2008-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor/KY Distributor	Lexington											Princeton			Mean ³ (#trials)	
		2008 ^{1,2}	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018		2019
All trials are 1 year yields																	
Super Sugar Sterile	Gayland Ward Seed							94									-
Super Sweet 10	Dyna-Gro Seeds												121			118	120(2)
Sweet-For-Ever	Gayland Ward Seed				110	107	81										99(3)
Sweet-For-Ever BMR	Gayland Ward Seed					78	70		77	104	106	83		77	82		85(8)
SweetSix BMR	Gayland Ward Seed						93	101		91							95(3)
SweetSix BMR (Dry Stalk)	Gayland Ward Seed								102		72	107		103	108		98(5)
Vita-Cane	Gayland Ward Seed				121												-
Xtragraze BMR	Coffey Seed												79			70	75(2)

¹ Establishment year.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific tables in this report to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ BMR (Brown Mid-rib) means that a variety has been developed to produce lower amounts of lignin which usually translates into higher quality.

Table 15. Summary of Kentucky pearl millet yield trials 2013-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor/KY Distributor	Lexington							Princeton			Mean ³ (#trials)				
		2013 ^{1,2}	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019					
All trials are 1 year yields																
Epic BMR ⁴	Coffey Seed								97			99				98(2)
Exceed BMR	Coffey Seed								89			102				96(2)
FSG 300 Hybrid	Farm Science Genetics			109	99	109				117						109(4)
FSG 315 BMR (Dwarf)	Farm Science Genetics			101	102	81				97						95(4)
Leafy22 Hybrid	Turner Seed				105	124	108	108	115	100	116	111(7)				107(2)
PearlMil	Dyna-Gro Seed								103			110				107(2)
Pennleaf Hybrid	Pennington Seed	93	91	94	96	87	98	100	84	93		93(9)				
PP102M Hybrid	Cisco Seeds	93	93	90	79	90	91	97	77	104	95	91(10)				
Prime360	Byron Seed										103	97(2)				
SS1562M BMR	Southern States										103	99(2)				
SS501	Southern States	90	99	96	86	94	94		89	96		93(8)				
SS635	Southern States	108	112	101	116	94	110	108	107	115	105	108(10)				
Sweet Summer	Cisco Seeds							86	95		85	104	93(4)			
Tifleaf III Hybrid	Gayland Ward Seed	116	106	108	116	120	113	119	114	112	111	114(10)				
Wonderleaf	Advanta Seed/Ramer Seed								98		100	107	102(3)			

¹ Establishment year.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific tables in this report to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ BMR (Brown Mid-rib) means that a variety has been developed to produce lower amounts of lignin which usually translates into higher quality.

Table 16. Summary of Kentucky forage sorghum yield trials 2013-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor/KY Distributor	Lexington							Princeton			Mean ³ (#trials)
		2013 ^{1,2}	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2017	2019 ⁴	2019	
All trials are one-year yields												
ADVF7232 BMR ⁵	Advanta Seed/Ramer Seed							88		93	84	86(2)
AF7201 BMR	Advanta Seed/Ramer Seed	89	81	101	89			94		74	83	90(6)
AF7203 BMR (Brachytic Dwarf)								48	70			59(2)
AF7401 BMR (Brachytic Dwarf)	Advanta Seed/Ramer Seed	76	94	90	83	86	72	85	116	87	100	89(9)
AF8301	Advanta Seed/Ramer Seed							98		124	85	92(2)
Ensilemaster	Caudill Seed	125	90	101	106	111	129	118	171	77	85	115(9)
FSG114 BMR	Farm Science Genetics		94	128	93	125	91	76	71	89	79	95(8)
FSG115 BMR (Brachytic Dwarf)	Farm Science Genetics		51	31	72	81	74	67	72	60	74	65(8)
F74FS23 BMR	Dyna-Gro Seed							125		77	76	101(92)
F74FS72 BMR	Dyna-Gro Seed							93		59	117	105(92)
F75FS13	Dyna-Gro Seed							107		109	84	96(2)
GW2120	Gayland Ward Seed	117	89	113	84	107	88	102	85	98	115	100(9)
GW400 BMR	Gayland Ward Seed	93	79	128	78	91	88	83	42			85(8)
GW475 BMR	Gayland Ward Seed						80	99				90(2)
GW600 BMR	Gayland Ward Seed		107	111	90		90	100				100(5)
KFFiber-Pro70FS	Byron Seed					65	53		70			63(3)
NK300	S&W SeedCompany		126	110	101	116	135	84	119			113(7)
SD1741 BMR	S&W SeedCompany		133	92	103	81	84	95	94			97(7)
SilageKing BMR (Dwarf)	Gayland Ward Seed		48									-
SiloPro BMR (Brachytic Dwarf)	Gayland Ward Seed			24	74		63					54(3)
SP1615	S&W SeedCompany									164	170	-
SS1515	Southern States							125		97	75	100(2)
SS405	Chromatin		188	183	207	138	202	139	160	142	171	174(8)
Super Sile 20	Dyna-Gro Seed							107		106	124	116(2)
Super Sile 30	Dyna-Gro Seed							121		129	104	113(2)
TopTon	Dyna-Gro Seed							131		84	73	102(2)
XF7203 BMR (Brachytic Dwarf)	Advanta Seed/Ramer Seed					74	73					74(2)
1990	S&W SeedCompany		121	89	118	125	177	113	131			125(7)

¹ Establishment year.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific tables in this report to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ This trial was sprayed with an aphicide and the results are not included in the overall mean.

⁵ BMR (Brown Mid-rib) means that a variety has been developed to produce lower amounts of lignin which usually translates into higher quality.

Table 17. Summary of Kentucky teff yield trials 2008-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Lexington										Princeton			Mean ³ (#trials)
	2008 ^{1,2}	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2019	2008	2009	2019	
All trials are one-year yields														
Corvallis	81	101	91	101	96	100	110	96	102	110	94	112	99	99(13)
CW0604										101			97	99(2)
Dessie	99	92	96	94	95	97	101	104	105	89	102	87	101	98(13)
Excaliber	109	104	125	108	106	103					109	111		109(8)
Highveld	100	121	106	101	109	103	102				111	115		108(9)
HorseCandi	99	105	89	108	94	97	80	104	82	86	91	84	103	94(13)
Moxie						94	96	105	107	110			95	101(6)
Pharaoh	105	85	106	106	97	101	93	97	94	102	95	101	107	99(13)
Rooiberg	112	109	113	108	115	102	88				102	107		106(9)
Summer Delight		91	96	88	93	100	119	101	104	91		90	99	97(11)
Tiffany	102	93	82	93	102	98	104	97	105	110	102	106	104	100(13)
VA T1 Brown		99	87	91	94	98	104	97	101	100		89		96(10)
Velvet		100	97	98	95	103	95	99	100	101		94	96	98(11)
Witkope	93	101	115	103	101	104	107				94	100		102(9)

¹ Establishment year.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific tables in this report to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

Table 18. Summary of Kentucky crabgrass yield trials 2016-2019 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor/ KY Distributor	Lexington			Princeton	Mean ³ (#trials)
		2016 ^{1,2}	2018	2019	2019	
All trials are one-year yields						
Impact	Barenbrug USA	107	107	108	105	107(4)
Quick-N-Big	Noble foundation	89	85	81	99	89(4)
Red River	Noble foundation	104	108	110	96	105(4)

¹ Establishment year.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific tables in this report to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

Table 19. Summary of Kentucky spring oats yield trials 2015-2019 (planted mid March to early April) [yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial].

Variety	Proprietor/ Distributor	2015 ^{1,2}	2016	2017	2018	2019	Mean ³ (#trials)
		All trials are one-year yields					
CCSO-102	Caldbeck Consulting				95	102	99(2)
CCSO-120 (black hulled)	Caldbeck Consulting				106	106	106(2)
Common	Central Farm Supply	89					–
Excel	Ag. Alumni Seed, IN	120	101	111	107	115	111(5)
Haywire	Cisco Seeds					81	–
Jerry	Caudill Seed	107	93	103	99	95	99(5)
Persik (black hulled)	Caldbeck Consulting		112	114	127	106	115(4)
PST-241	Caldbeck Consulting	91	86	86	86		87(4)
PST50200	Caldbeck Consulting	102	90	87	79		90(4)
PST50-288C	Caldbeck Consulting	91	102	88	97		95(4)
Reins	Ag. Alumni Seed, IN	94			102		98(2)
Robust	Ag. Alumni Seed, IN	104	111	117	102	94	106(5)
Saber	Ag. Alumni Seed, IN	104			100	97	100(3)
VNK	Public		97	107	101	94	100(4)
021A17815	Ag. Alumni Seed, IN	97	108	87			97(3)

¹ Establishment year.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific tables in this report to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

Table 20. Summary of 2002-2019 Kentucky white clover grazing tolerance trials in Lexington (stand persistence shown as a percent of the mean of the commercial varieties in the test).

Variety	Type	Proprietor	2002 ^{1,2}	2004	2006 ³	2006	2008 ⁴	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Mean ⁵ (#trials)
			2yr ⁶	4yr	2yr	2yr	3yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	3yr	4yr	3yr	
Alice	Intermediate	Barenbrug USA		59	98									93	71	91	96	85(6)
Barblanca	Intermediate	Barenbrug USA		118	91	151												120(3)
Canterbury	Dutch	Allied Seed											51	93				72(2)
Colt	Intermediate	Seed Research of OR		114	134	122												123(3)
Crescendo	Ladino	Cal/West	84			72												78(2)
Durana	Intermediate	Pennington		83	105	103		115	102	107	126	86	81	113	152	107	87	105(13)
GWC-AS10	–	Ampac Seed								77								–
Insight	Ladino	Allied Seed				77												–
Ivory	Intermediate	DLF Pickseed	132	142														137(2)
Ivory II	Intermediate	DLF Pickseed					102											–
Kakariki	Ladino	Luisetti Seeds															110	–
Kopu II	Intermediate	Ampac Seed			77	122	96		93	113	112	86	106	93	87	95		98(11)
KY Select	Intermediate	KY Agr Ex. Sta.						105		83								94(2)
Neches	–	Barenbrug USA													104			–
Patriot	Intermediate	Pennington		110	137	122		100	111	110	123	102	132	109	123	98	114	115(13)
Pinnacle	Ladino	Allied Seed									87							–
Rampart	–	Oregro Seeds						90										–
Regal	Ladino	Public	92		57	54		93		103								80(5)
Regal Graze	Ladino	Cal/West			84	87	105	90	87	93	72	94	81	102	87	98	87	88(13)
Renovation	Intermediate	Smith Seed											102	100	55		92	87(4)
Resolute	Intermediate	Southern States			101	106					65							91(3)
Seminole	Ladino	Saddle Butte Ag. Inc.		75		97	91						89	85				97(5)
Tillman II	Ladino	Caudill Seed	92															–
WBDX	Dutch	Saddle Butte Ag. Inc.								70								–
Will	Ladino	Allied Seed			117	87	107	105	108	143	115	133	157	111	120	109	114	117(13)

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific test. For example, the trial planted in 2010 was grazed for four years so the final persistence report would be “2014 Red and White Clover Grazing Tolerance Report” archived in the UK Forage website at forages.ca.uky.edu.

³ This trial was planted in the spring of 2006 due to poor establishment of the fall 2005 planting.

⁴ This trial was planted in the spring of 2008 due to poor establishment of the fall 2007 planting.

⁵ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁶ Number of years of data.

Table 21. Summary of Kentucky alfalfa grazing trials 1998-2019 (stand persistence shown as a percent of the grazing tolerant Alfagraz).

Variety	Proprietor	Variety Characteristics ¹												Lexington												Mean ⁵ (#trials)	
		Disease Resistance ²						1998 ^{3,4}						2000-2019													
		FD	Bw	Fw	An	PRR	APH	3yr ⁶	2yr	3yr	4yr	3yr	4yr	2000	2001	2004	2005	2006	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		2016
ABT 350	W-L Research	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	46	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	73(2)
ABT 405	W-L Research	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	46	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100(16)
Alfagraz	America's Alfalfa	2	MR	R	MR	R	–	–	–	–	–	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	–
Alfagraz 300 RR	America's Alfalfa	3	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alfagraz 600 RR	America's Alfalfa	6	–	R	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Amerigraze 401+Z	America's Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	56	26	85	125	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	73(4)
Ameristand 403T	America's Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–	–	–	–	141	144	50	91	144	118	65	–	–	–	–	108(7)
Ameristand 403TPlus	America's Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–	–	–	–	–	–	133	90	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	120(4)
Ameristand 407TQ	America's Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–	–	–	–	136	–	50	80	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	89(3)
Apollo	America's Alfalfa	4	R	R	R	R	–	–	–	–	–	47	17	31	25	36	27	25	17	27	70	55	86	24	–	–	37(13)
Archer III	America's Alfalfa	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	81	–	–	–	–	–	33	83	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	58(2)
Baralfa 54	Barenbrug USA	–	R	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	78	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Bulldog-505	Univ. of GA	5	–	HR	–	–	R	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
FK 421	Donley Seed Co.	4	HR	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	100	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Feast	Garst Seeds	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	87	92	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gold Plus	PGI Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	81	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Grazeking	Southern States	5	MR	HR	HR	R	S	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Haygrazer	Great Plains Research	4	HR	HR	R	R	MR	–	–	–	–	38	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Integrity	PGI Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Legendairy5.0	Croplan Genetics	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
PGI 424	Producers Choice	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
PGI 459	Producers Choice	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Pioneer 98	Pioneer	3	HR	R	HR	R	–	–	–	–	–	56	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
ProGro	MBS Inc.	4	HR	HR	R	HR	MR	HR	MR	HR	MR	81	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Rebel	Target Seed	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Rugged	Target Seed	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Saranac AR (cert.)	Public	4	MR	R	HR	R	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Spredor 3	Syngenta	1	HR	HR	R	MR	S	75	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Spredor 4	Syngenta	2	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
TS 4007	Producers Choice	4	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
TS 4010/A4535	Producers Choice	4	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Triple Trust 450	ABI/America's Alfalfa	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wintergreen	ABI Alfalfa	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	72	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
WL 326GZ	W-L Research	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	88	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
115 Brand	Monsanto	3	HR	HR	R	HR	R	–	–	–	–	56	85	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
5432	Pioneer	4	HR	HR	–	MR	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

¹ Variety characteristics: FD = fall dormancy, Bw = bacterial wilt, Fw = fusarium wilt, An = anthracnose, PRR = phytophthora root rot, APH = aphanomyces root rot. Information provided by seed companies.

² Disease resistance: S = susceptible, LR = low resistance, MR = moderate resistance, R = resistance, HR = high resistance. More detailed disease and insect resistance ratings at www.alfalfa.org/pdf/2019_Alfalfa_Variety_Leaflet.pdf.

³ Year trial was established.

⁴ Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific test. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2011 was grazed for four years so final persistence report would be "2015 Alfalfa Grazing Tolerance Report" archived in the UK Forage website at forages.ca.uky.edu.

⁵ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁶ Number of years of data.

Table 24. Summary of 2000-2019 Kentucky perennial ryegrass and festulolium (FL) grazing tolerance trials in Lexington (stand persistence shown as a percent of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Type	Proprietor	2000 ^{1,2}	2001	2003	2007	2008	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Mean ³ (#trials)
			4yr ⁴	3yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	3yr		
AGRLP103	–	AgResearch USA	128		86										107(2)
Albion	tetraploid	Grassland Oregon											120		–
Aries	diploid	Ampac Seed		139											–
Barfest (FL)	MF x PR ⁶	Barenbrug USA						116	112						114(2)
Barvitra	diploid	Barenbrug USA											35		–
BG-34	diploid	Barenbrug USA											83		–
Boost	tetraploid	Allied Seed					101	83	95	104					96(4)
Calibra	tetraploid	DLF International								120		88	97	108	103(4)
Citadel	tetraploid	Donley Seed	107												–
Duo (FL)	MF x PR ⁶	Ampac Seed	116				95	72	90	115			70	67	89(7)
Lasso	diploid	DLF-Jenks		130											–
Linn (certified)	diploid	Public	112	129	63		95	108	95	103	96	80	74	96	96(11)
Maverick	tetraploid	Ampac Seed		36											–
Meadow Green (FL)	MF x IR ⁶	Pure Seed								15					–
Melpetra	tetraploid	Hood River Seed												106	–
PayDay	tetraploid	Mountain View Seeds									101	85			93(2)
Polly II	tetraploid	FS Growmark	36	68											52(2)
Power	tetraploid	Ampac Seed				158		107	112	109	89	79	83		105(7)
Quartet	tetraploid	Ampac Seed		77		59									68(2)
Remington	tetraploid	Barenbrug USA			151							138	180	135	151(3)
Remington PLUS NEA2 ⁵	tetraploid	Barenbrug USA										145	171		158(3)
Spring Green (FL)	MF x PR ⁶	Rose Agri-Seed	101				109	115	115	120			87	89	105(7)
TetraGain	tetraploid	Pure Seed								112					–
Victorian	diploid	Caudill Seed									114				–

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2010 was grazed four years so the final report would be “2014 Cool-Season Grass Grazing Tolerance Report” archived in the UK Forage website at forages.ca.uky.edu.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ Number of years of data.

⁵ Remington PLUS NEA2 contains a non-toxic (novel) endophyte.

⁶ MF = meadow fescue, PR = perennial ryegrass, IR = Italian ryegrass.

Table 25. Summary of 1999-2019 Kentucky tall fescue horse-grazing tolerance trials with three or more years of data in Lexington (stand persistence shown as a percent of the stand rating of the endophyte free variety KY 31-).

Variety	Endophyte Status ¹	Proprietor/ KY Distributor	1999 ^{2,3} 3-yr ⁵	2001 4-yr	2002 4-yr	2003 4-yr	2004 4-yr	2005 4-yr	2006 4-yr	2007 4-yr	2008 4-yr	2009 4-yr	2010 4-yr	2011 4-yr	2012 4-yr	2013 4-yr	2014 4-yr	2015 4-yr	2016 3-yr	Mean ⁴ (#trials)	
BarOptima PLUS E34 ⁶	novel	Barenbrug USA								107			101	101	95	104	99	99	101	101(8)	
Bronson	free	Ampac Seed	80																	–	
Cajun II	free	Smith Seed Services													96				101	99(2)	
Cattle Club	free	Green Seed	95																	–	
Cowgirl	free	Rose Agri-Seed									105				99					102(2)	
Festorina	free	Advanta Seed	102																	–	
Jesup MaxQ	novel	Pennington Seed			98			78			104	97	100	101	97	105	98	100	99	98(11)	
Johnstone	free	ProSeeds Marketing		88																–	
KY31+	toxic	KY Agri. Exp. Sta.		105				102	109	120	107	101	101	101	99	105	99	100	101	104(13)	
KY31-	free	KY Agri. Exp. Sta.	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100(17)
Lacefield MaxQ II	novel	Pennington Seed							105	110		98				104			100	100	103(6)
Nanryo	free	Japanese Grassland Forage Seed								72											–
Seine	free	Seed Research of Oregon					135														–
Select	free	Southern States	82		109	94	99	73	104	76	108	98	100	101	98	98	97	100		96(15)	
SS0705TFLS	free	Southern States															98	100	100	99(3)	
Stargrazer	free	Southern States	70																		–
Stockman	free	Seed Research of Oregon					125														–

¹ Free varieties that do not contain an endophyte. Toxic-KY31+ contains a toxic endophyte. Novel-varieties that contain an endophyte that aids persistence but is not toxic to cattle.

² Year trial was established.

³ Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2010 was grazed four years so the final report would be “2014 Cool-Season Grass Horse Grazing Tolerance Report” archived in the UK Forage website at forages.ca.uky.edu.

⁴ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁵ Number of years of data.

⁶ BarOptima PLUS E34 is not recommended for pregnant mares because it produces low levels of the alkaloid ergovaline.

Table 26. Summary of 1999-2019 Kentucky orchardgrass horse-grazing tolerance trials with three or more years of data in Lexington (stand persistence shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor/ KY Distributor	1999 ^{1,2} 3-yr ⁵	2000 4-yr	2001 4-yr	2002 4-yr	2005 ³ 4-yr	2006 4-yr	2009 4-yr	2010 4-yr	2011 4-yr	2012 4-yr	2013 4-yr	2014 4-yr	2015 4-yr	2016 3-yr	Mean ⁴ (#trials)
Albert	Univ. of Wisconsin			95												–
Ambrosia	Amer.Grass Seed Prod.						61									–
Benchmark	Southern States	104			85											95(2)
Benchmark Plus	Southern States				111	157	139	111	114	121	121	137	105			120(8)
Crown Royale	Grassland Oregon			95												–
Crown Royale Plus	Grassland Oregon				97											–
Elise	Pure Seed										87					–
Haymate	Southern States	96	85		97											93(3)
Persist	Smith Seed Services					114		103	101	92	112	146	95	123	127	112(8)
Potomac	Public				117											–
Prairie	Turner Seed			100										92	91	92(2)
Prodigy	Caudill Seed											54				–
Profit	Ampac Seed							93	86		92		108			95(4)
SS-0708OGDT	Southern States									104			92	77	90	91(4)
Tekapo	Ampac Seed	101	115		93	30		92	100	83	87	63		108		94(9)

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2010 was grazed four years so the final report would be “2014 Cool-Season Grass Horse Grazing Tolerance Report” archived in the UK Forage website at forages.ca.uky.edu.

³ Due to high variation during 2005 these values are not included in the overall mean.

⁴ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁵ Number of years of data.



Mention or display of a trademark, proprietary product, or firm in text or figures does not constitute an endorsement and does not imply approval to the exclusion of other suitable products or firms.